

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
S4.3	Mina Henare	General	Support	ADD site at 99 Komiti Road, Tinopai to Schedule 3 as an Area of Significance to Māori. Initiate consultation with mana whenua.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accept in part. The submission identifies a site of potential cultural significance and raises the question of the process for adding new sites to Schedule 3. Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011 considerations may also be relevant. The Overview is amended to acknowledge the process for adding new sites. 	Accept in part	Topic 6: Schedule 3
S70.1	James Barrett	General	Support	ADD to Schedule 3 the awa from the junction of Pukehuia Road and Girls High School Road to the confluence of the Wairoa, Wairua and Mangakahia awa.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Wairoa awa is documented in Te Uri o Hau statutory acknowledgements as a principal travel and communication route, with pa sites, urupā and wāhi tapu recorded along its shores. Applying NRC PRP Policy D.1.5 criteria, the awa meets the threshold for a place of significance to tāngata whenua. 	Accept	Topic 6: Schedule 3

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
FS102.6	J.R. Barrett on behalf of Tangiterōria Marae	SASM-Schedule 3	Support	SUPPORT S70.1 addition of Wairoa awa to Schedule 3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The further submission supports S70.1. I note the further submission nominally cites S367.21 but is properly read as supporting S70.1. 	Accept	Topic 6: Schedule 3
S70.4	James Barrett	General	Support	ADD sediment and water quality management monitoring for the additional Site and Area of Significance to Māori (see submission point 70.1).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deterioration of water quality and sediment build up. 	Accept in part	Topic 6: Schedule 3
S73.10	PF Olsen Ltd	SASM-R3	Amend	<p>AMEND SASM-R3.1.a.ii. as follows:</p> <p>1. Permitted Activity Where:</p> <p>a. The activity is for the purposes of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Installation of fence posts; Repair and maintenance of existing farm <u>and commercial forestry</u> infrastructure, including tracks and drains, provided the area and volume of land disturbed is limited to what is necessary to maintain the existing infrastructure along its existing alignment;... 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure consistent terminology and application across the Plan, where both farming and forestry infrastructure are recognised under similar permitted activity rules. Avoid ambiguity and improve clarity for plan users, including commercial forestry operators. Align with the principle of equitable treatment of primary production sectors in planning provisions. 	Accept	Topic 5: Rules
FS54.3	Heritage New Zealand	SASM-R3	Oppose	OPPOSE S73.10 amendment to include commercial forestry infrastructure in SASM-R3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> HNZPT opposes PF Olsen's amendment to include commercial forestry 	Reject	Topic 5: Rules

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
	Pouhere Taonga				infrastructure in SASM-R3, citing risk of damage to recorded and undiscovered archaeology. I do not accept the opposition. The amendment to SASM-R3.1.a.ii is limited to repair and maintenance of existing infrastructure along its existing alignment — a low-impact activity that does not increase disturbance beyond what already exists. This is an appropriate and proportionate permitted activity.		
FS89.8	PF Olsen	SASM-R3	Support	SUPPORT S73.10 amendment to SASM-R3 to include commercial forestry infrastructure.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PF Olsen supports own amendment. S73.10 is accepted and SASM-R3.1.a.ii is amended to include commercial forestry infrastructure. 	Accept	Topic 5: Rules
S122	Amanda Harris	General	Support	RETAIN existing SASM provisions that protect sites of significance to Māori.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The submission supports retention of the SASM chapter as notified. 	Accept in part	Topic 1: Overview/General
S136.41	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (Inc) -	General	Amend	AMEND the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter for consistent use of the same phrase and terms. AND	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter uses differing terminology throughout. Reference is made to “within scheduled sites”, “within 	Accept in part	Topic 2: Definitions

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
	Northland Province			Any consequential amendments.	<p>scheduled sites and areas, and “within sites and areas of significance to Māori listed in SCHED3”.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In addition, the overview to the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter states that: “The provisions in this chapter apply only to the sites and areas listed in SCHED3 – Sites and areas of significance to Māori”. • Terminology used throughout the chapter needs to be consistent and not change in respect of whether you are reading an overview, objective, policy or rule. • Consistency enables provisions of a Proposed District Plan to be understood easier and removes the potential for confusion to occur. 		
S136.42	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (Inc) -	Overview	Support	AMEND the Overview to the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter as follows: ... Such sites and areas need to be assessed and determined with the	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Overview provides a useful introduction to this chapter. • The submitter seeks clarity that any new sites and areas of significance to Māori identified 	Accept	Topic 1: Overview/General

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
	Northland Province			guidance and direction of Māori <u>and added to the District Plan using the RMA Schedule 1 process. Any such sites and areas need to be determined and assessed by Māori.</u> The provisions ... OR Wording with similar intent and/or effect. AND Any consequential amendments.	will be added to the Proposed District Plan through a plan change process under the relevant legislation.		
FS72.9	Manulife Forest Management NZ Ltd	SASM-Overview	Support	SUPPORT S136.42 Overview amendments.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Manulife supports the Overview amendments. 	Accept	Topic 1: Overview/General
FS54.6	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	SASM-Overview	Support in part	SUPPORT in part S136.42 regarding Overview amendments.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> HNZPT supports the overview amendments sought by Federated Farmers insofar as they improve clarity for plan users. S136.42 is accepted and the Overview is amended accordingly. 	Accept in part	Topic 1: Overview/General
S136.43	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (Inc) -	SASM-P3	Support	AMEND SASM-P3 by adding a new point as follows: Enable the following activities ... 6. Cultural practices carried out in accordance with tikanga Māori;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The submitter supports in part policy SASM-P3 as it aims to provide for certain activities to occur within sites and areas of significance to Māori. However, 	Reject	Topic 4: Policies

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
	Northland Province			<p><u>7. New activities with a functional need or operational need with no practicable alternative location.</u></p> <p>OR</p> <p>Wording with similar intent.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Any consequential amendments.</p>	<p>there are concerns that the policy uses the term ‘protect’ which sets a very high bar to be met for one of the specified activities to be allowed to occur.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Also of concern is the use of the terms ‘buildings’, ‘structures’, and ‘infrastructure’. Buildings and structures are subsets of infrastructure, and the use of multiple terms is confusing. • It is also queried why the policy provides for the maintenance, repair, alternation, demolition or removal of existing buildings and structures but not for infrastructure which is limited to maintenance, operation and repair. • There is currently no provision in policy SASM-P3 for new activities (e.g. buildings, structures or vegetation clearance). This seems to conflict with policy SASM-P4 which recognises activities with a functional or operational need 		

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
					and no practicable alternative location.		
FS54.7	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	SASM-P4	Support	SUPPORT S136.43 opposing addition of minor effects qualifier to SASM-P4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HNZPT supports the rejection of Federated Farmers' request to add a minor effects qualifier to SASM-P4.1. 	Accept	Topic 4: Policies
FS72.10	Manulife Forest Management NZ Ltd	SASM-P4	Support	SUPPORT S136.43 opposing minor effects qualifier to SASM-P4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Manulife supports Federated Farmers' request for a minor effects qualifier to SASM-P4.1. 	Reject	Topic 4: Policies
FS93.23	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of NZ	SASM-P4	Support	SUPPORT rejection of S136.43 and S136.44 amendments to SASM-P4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Forest and Bird supports rejection of the Federated Farmers amendments to SASM-P4. 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies
FS100.70	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	SASM-P4	Support in part	SUPPORT in part S136.43 regarding SASM-P4 amendments.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accept in part. Transpower supports Federated Farmers' request for a minor effects qualifier to SASM-P4.1. That request is rejected. FS100.70 is not accepted on this point. 	Reject	Topic 4: Policies
S136.44	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (Inc) -	SASM-P4	Support	AMEND SASM-P4.1 as follows: 1. Avoiding locating activities within the scheduled sites unless there is a functional or operational need and no practicable alternative location.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SASM-P4 is supported as it provides clear direction on how the effects management hierarchy will be applied. 	Reject	Topic 4: Policies

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
	Northland Province			<p><u>or the effects of the activity are no more than minor;</u></p> <p>OR</p> <p>Wording with similar intent.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Any consequential amendments.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clause 1 requires that activities within sites and areas of significance to Māori should be avoided unless there is a functional or operational need. Amendments are sought to SASM-P4 to recognise activities with no more than minor effects such as in SASM-P3 clause 2 where this provides for animal grazing, pasture management and pest management. 		
FS54.8	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	SASM-P4	Support	SUPPORT rejection of S136.44 amendment to SASM-P4.1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> HNZPT's concern that adding a broad minor effects qualifier creates uncertainty is well-founded. 	Accept	Topic 4: Policies
S136.45	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (Inc) - Northland Province	SASM-P6	Support	AMEND SASM-P6 to exclude offal pits and on-farm domestic landfills (refer also 136.20). AND Any consequential amendments.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The submitter is cautious of inclusion of policy SASM-P6 and has concerns about its practical impacts on farms. On-farm activities that meet the Proposed District Plan definition of 'landfill' (noting our submission requesting amendment to that definition under 136.20) are offal pits (as permitted by the PRNP 	Reject	Topic 4: Policies

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
					rule C.6.3.3) and on-farm domestic landfills (as permitted by PRNP rule C.6.7.2).		
S136.46	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (Inc) - Northland Province	SASM-P7	Support	<p>AMEND SASM-P7.4 as follows:</p> <p>4. Opportunities for tangata whenua's relationship with the site to be maintained or strengthened on an ongoing basis, including any practical mechanisms for mana whenua to access and use the site <u>where the landowner offers to facilitate such access and use.</u></p> <p>OR Wording with similar intent. AND Any consequential amendments.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The submitter supports in part policy SASM-P7 as it identifies the matters that will be considered when assessing effects on sites and areas of significance to Māori. There are concerns in respect of clause 4 of the policy for as written this requirement could be used to coerce landowners to give access to or across their land. This has the potential to impose costs, disrupt farming operations, create security or nuisance issues, and raise health and safety concerns. 	Reject	Topic 4: Policies
S136.189	Federated Farmers	General	Support	RETAIN Schedule 3 as notified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Federated Farmers supports retaining Schedule 3 as notified. 	Accept in part	Topic 6: Schedule 3
S141.1	Te Roroa Whatu Ora Trust & Te Roroa	General	Amend	AMEND the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter so that all identification and evaluation of wāhi tapu and cultural landscapes within the	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter is opposed in part as it does not adequately identify or protect Te Roroa wāhi tapu and/or cultural 	Accept in part	Topic 6: Schedule 3

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
	Manawhenua Trust			Te Roroa rohe is led by Te Roroa, using its tikanga and mātauranga.	landscapes. Provisions should use Te Roroa-led cultural knowledge systems instead of archaeological definitions.		
S141.2	Te Roroa Whatu Ora Trust and Te Roroa Manawhenua Trust	SASM-Schedule 3	Amend	AMEND Schedule 3 to include sites listed in the Sixth Schedule of the Te Roroa Manawhenua Trust Deed. ADD provision for Te Roroa to update and maintain Schedule 3 through a formal partnership protocol.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Four of the five Sixth Schedule wāhi tapu (Manuwhetai, Whangaiariki, Puketapu, Maunganui Bluff) are already in Schedule 3 as Cultural Redress sites. The fifth, Kaharau, is absent and represents a material gap given its Treaty settlement status. 	Accept in part	Topic 6: Schedule 3
S141.3	Te Roroa Whatu Ora Trust and Te Roroa Manawhenua Trust	SASM-Overview	Amend	AMEND the SASM Overlay on planning maps to reflect Te Roroa cultural landscape mapping. ADD real-time update mechanisms via co-management agreement.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SASM Overlay does not represent the full extent of Te Roroa's cultural landscape. 	Accept in part	Topic 6: Schedule 3
S141.6	Te Roroa Whatu Ora Trust and Te Roroa Manawhenua Trust	Definitions	Amend	REPLACE definitions for Wāhi tapu, Tangata Whenua, and Cultural Values with definitions developed in partnership with Te Roroa reflecting Te Roroa tikanga, oral traditions and Trust Deed mandates.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New definitions of Wāhi tapu, Place of significance to tāngata whenua, and Tangata whenua are recommended, consistent with the NRC Proposed Regional Plan for Northland. 	Accept in part	Topic 2: Definitions

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
S141.9	Te Roroa Whatu Ora Trust & Te Roroa Manawhenua Trust	General	Amend	ADD a minimum 100m buffer zone around any identified wāhi tapu. AND AMEND the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori provisions to require all activities within the buffer zone to obtain consent from Te Roroa.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No buffer zones are provided for wāhi tapu. Te Roroa request that a 100m buffer zone is provided and added as a map layer. 	Reject	Topic 5: Rules
S158.1	Manulife Forest Management NZ Ltd	SASM-R3	Amend	AMEND the wording of SASM-R3.1.a. as follows: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The activity is for the purposes of: i. Installation of fence posts; ii. Repair and maintenance of existing farm <u>and commercial forestry</u> infrastructure, including tracks and drains, provided the area and volume of land disturbed is limited to what is necessary to maintain the existing infrastructure along its existing alignment; ...	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The submitter supports this rule in principle however has provided a minor amendment to include forestry infrastructure. 	Accept	Topic 5: Rules
S206.10	D Leighton	General	Amend	AMEND provisions to make cultural impact assessments mandatory for all high-impact discretionary or non-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Though the plan has Māori purpose zones and recognises iwi planning documents, it would benefit from implementation 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
				complying activities near culturally significant sites.	pathways being further developed in order to align the Proposed District Plan with Te Tiriti o Waitangi.		
S229.18	Ravensdown Limited	SASM-P6	Support	RETAIN SASM-P6 as notified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Locating hazardous facilities within a scheduled site is inappropriate. 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies
S229.19	Ravensdown Limited	SASM-R7	Amend	AMEND SASM-R7 as follows: Landfills, waste disposal facilities, significant hazardous facilities and offensive industries.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The submitter agrees that it is inappropriate to locate hazardous facilities within sites and areas of significance to Māori. However, the submission has requested the deletion of the proposed definition for ‘significant hazardous facilities’ (see separate submission point). 	Accept in part	Topic 5: Rules
S270.10	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Definitions	Support	RETAIN the definition of Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori as notified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The notified definition correctly anchors the chapter's application to sites listed in Schedule 3 and gives effect to s6(e) RMA. 	Accept	Topic 2: Definitions
S270.11	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Definitions	Support	RETAIN the definition of Sites of Interest to Māori as notified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The notified definition provides useful flexibility for Māori to identify an interest in a site in resource consent processes. 	Accept	Topic 2: Definitions

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
S270.38	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Overview	Amend	ADD to the Overview of the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter the following: <u>Note: In addition to the requirements of the District Plan, it should be noted that the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 requires all applicants to obtain an archaeology authority from the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 before any archaeological site is modified or destroyed. This is the case regardless of whether the land on which the site is located is designated, or the activity is permitted under the District Plan or a resource or building consent has been granted.</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HNZPT is concerned that unrecorded archaeology can accidentally destroyed when undertaking activities within sensitive environments. HNZPT therefore request that a reminder note be added to each relevant section of the Proposed District Plan to raise awareness of responsibilities for landowners and developers under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014. 	Accept	Topic 1: Overview/General
FS41.15	Channel Terminal Services Ltd	General	Oppose	OPPOSE additional advice note as proposed by HNZPT as requested in 270.38 without appropriate process.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The further submission opposes the addition of new SASM sites without the procedural protections of the Schedule 1 process. 	Reject	Topic 1: Overview/General
S270.39	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	SASM-O1	Support	RETAIN SASM-O1 as notified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HNZPT supports the identification, recognition, and protection of sites of significance to Māori, including ancestral 	Accept	Topic 3: Objectives

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
					lands, waterways, wāhi tapu, and other taonga as stated in Objectives SASM-01.		
S270.40	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	SASM-02	Support	RETAIN SASM-02 as notified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HNZPT supports the identification, recognition, and protection of sites of significance to Māori, including ancestral lands, waterways, wāhi tapu, and other taonga as stated in Objectives SASM-02. SASM-02 affirms the importance of upholding the relationship of Māori with these sites, and advocates for their protection from activities that may compromise their cultural, spiritual, or historical values. These sites are integral to the identity and wellbeing of tangata whenua and are recognised as a vital component of Te Tiriti o Waitangi and must be considered under Sections 6, 7 and 8 of the RMA. 	Accept	Topic 3: Objectives
S270.41	Heritage New Zealand	SASM-P1	Support	RETAIN SASM-P1 as notified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HNZPT supports the identification and scheduling of 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
	Pouhere Taonga				sites of significance to Māori in consultation and collaboration with tangata whenua / mana whenua.		
S270.42	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	SASM-P2	Support	RETAIN SASM-P2 as notified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HNZPT supports the empowerment of Mana Whenua to exercise kaitiakitanga in relation to sites and areas of significance. This includes the acknowledgment of iwi authorities identified in each relevant schedule and allowing Mana Whenua to undertake cultural practices on these sites in accordance with appropriate tikanga. • HNZPT supports the active participation of Mana Whenua in resource management processes relating to these sites, thereby ensuring their role as kaitiaki is upheld and integrated into decision making. 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies
S270.43	Heritage New Zealand	SASM-P3	Support	RETAIN SASM-P3 as notified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where activities are permitted to occur on scheduled sites of significance to Māori, HNZPT 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
	Pouhere Taonga				believes this should only occur when the associated cultural, spiritual and historical Māori values are protected and that activities should only be carried out with appropriate tikanga Māori.		
S270.44	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	SASM-P4	Support	RETAIN SASM-P4 as notified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HNZPT only supports development on sites and areas of significance to Māori where the cultural, spiritual and historical Māori values will not be compromised. 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies
S270.45	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	SASM-P5	Support	RETAIN SASM-P5 as notified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HNZPT agree that the destruction or demolition of sites of significance should be avoided wherever possible and only occur when there is a serious threat to people or property. When destruction or demolition is not avoidable, it should occur only after significant consultation with appropriate Mana Whenua representatives. • HNZPT acknowledge that there are occasions where, due to the 	Accept	Topic 3: Policies Paras 166, 173

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
					spiritual, cultural, historical or economic well-being of Mana Whenua there may be some impacts to sites of significance to Māori.		
S270.46	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	SASM-P6	Amend	AMEND SASM-P6 as follows: Avoid the following activities within a site or area of significance to Māori: Mining and quarrying, except <u>including</u> farm quarries;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HNZPT supports avoiding the following activities of quarrying (including farm quarries) within a site and area of significance to Māori. Farm quarries have the same potential adverse effects as any form of quarrying and therefore should also be avoided in accordance with Section 6(f) of the RMA. 	Accept	Topic 4: Policies
FS29	Atlas Quarries Limited	SASM-P6	Support in part	SUPPORT in part Heritage NZ S270.46 regarding SASM-P6(1).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Atlas Quarries supports Heritage NZ's position on SASM-P6(1) insofar as it relates to mineral extraction and RPS compliance. 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies
FS54.9	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	SASM-P6	Support	SUPPORT S270.46 regarding SASM-P6(1).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HNZPT supports Heritage NZ's own position on SASM-P6(1). 	Accept	Topic 4: Policies
S270.47	Heritage New Zealand	SASM-P7	Amend	AMEND SASM-P7 as follows:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HNZPT believe that when activities are considered within or 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
	Pouhere Taonga			When considering an activity within <u>or near to</u> a scheduled site or area of significance to Māori, have regard to the following matters:	<p>near to sites of significance to Māori, consideration should be given to any effects to the cultural, spiritual and historical Māori values to these sites, and the views that Mana Whenua have provided during consultation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HNZPT recommend here that the wording is changed from “within a scheduled site of significance to Māori to within or near to a scheduled site of significance to Māori. 		
S283.143	Northpower Limited and Northpower Fibre Limited	General	Amend	<p>ADD additional objectives and policies to the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter that recognise the need for the location of new infrastructure within Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori where there is an operation and functional need, with any adverse effects adequately managed.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>ADD an objective to the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter that provides for the operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading of</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In some instances, there is an operational and functional need for infrastructure to be located within areas identified as being of significance to Māori. 	Accept in part	Topic 3: Objectives

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
				<p>infrastructure within Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>AMEND existing rules in the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter to allow for suitable provision of new infrastructure where there is an operational and functional need, and the ongoing operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading of infrastructure within Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Any further necessary consequential amendments required.</p>			
FS54.18	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	General	Support	SUPPORT rejection of S283.143 infrastructure objectives request.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HNZPT supports rejection of Northpower's request for infrastructure objectives within the SASM chapter. 	Accept	Topic 3: Objectives
FS100.68	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	General	Support in part	SUPPORT in part S283.143 infrastructure objectives request.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transpower supports Northpower's request for infrastructure objectives within the SASM chapter. 	Accept in part	Topic 3: Objectives
S283.144	Northpower Limited and	SASM-P3	Amend	AMEND SASM-P3.5. to read as follows:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infrastructure footprints can change slightly over time as technology improves, and 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
	Northpower Fibre Limited			5. Maintenance, operation, <u>upgrading</u> and repair of existing infrastructure;..." AND Any further necessary consequential amendments required.	upgrades need to be enabled to avoid unnecessary consenting requirements.		
FS41.30	Channel Terminal Services Ltd	SASM-P3	Support in part	SUPPORT in part Northpower S283.144 regarding infrastructure activities on scheduled sites.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Channel Terminal Services supports Northpower's position on SASM-related infrastructure provisions. 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies
FS41.31	Channel Terminal Services Ltd	SASM-P3	Support	SUPPORT Northpower S283.144 regarding infrastructure activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consistent with the acceptance in part of S283.144. 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies
FS54.19	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	SASM-P3	Support in part	SUPPORT in part S283.144 regarding SASM-P3(5) infrastructure amendment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> HNZPT supports in part the Northpower amendment to SASM-P3(5). 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies
S283.145	Northpower Limited and Northpower Fibre Limited	SASM-P5	Support	RETAIN SASM-P5 as notified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The submitter supports the destruction or demolition of a site or area of significance to Māori if it is necessary to prevent serious threat to infrastructure. 	Accept	Topic 4: Policies

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
FS100.71	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	SASM-P5	Support	SUPPORT S283.145 retention of SASM-P5 as notified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transpower supports Northpower's position on SASM-P5. 	Accept	Topic 4: Policies
S292.58	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM-P4	Support	RETAIN SASM-P4 as notified subject to INF-P10. AND Alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought by the submission.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The chapter is relevant to new National Grid assets and would be subject to the 'seek to avoid' policy directive within INF-P10. The submitter prefers that the Infrastructure chapter contain the relevant provisions for the National Grid within sites and areas of significance to Māori, the submitter is supportive of the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter provisions on the basis that the activity statuses within the chapter are retained as notified, and INF-P10 and the precedence clause are retained. The submitter supports the recognition of functional or operational need in sub-clause 1 of SASM-P4. 	Accept	Topic 4: Policies
S332.42	Northland Regional Council	SASM-R2	Amend	AMEND SASM-R2.1.a. as follows: a. Animal grazing, pasture management or pest management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As per the submitter's previous submission point on replacing reference to "wāhi tapu or 	Reject	Topic 5: Rules

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
				is not undertaken within a wāhi tapu or mahinga kai site or area. <u>Schedule 3 site.</u>	<p>mahinga kai site or area" with "Scheduled 3 sites", it is requested that SASM-R2 is amended to refer to Schedule 3 sites.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Northland Regional Policy Statement Policy 4.6.2 seeks the restriction of activities which compromise important spiritual or cultural values in association with particular heritage places or features. As such, the submitter supports provisions relating to Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori subject to the amendments sought. 		
S332.43	Northland Regional Council	SASM-R3	Amend	RETAIN SASM-R3. AND ADD to SASM-R3 an accidental discovery condition to the permitted activity.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The submitter requests that SASM-R3 is retained but with an added condition to manage accidental discovery. Northland Regional Policy Statement Policy 4.6.2 seeks the restriction of activities which compromise important spiritual or cultural values in association with particular heritage places or features. As such, the submitter 	Accept in part	Topic 5: Rules

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
					supports provisions relating to Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori subject to the amendments sought.		
S332.44	Northland Regional Council	SASM-R4	Amend	AMEND SASM-R4.1.a. as follows: a. The earthworks are not located within a wāhi tapu or mahinga kai site a Schedule 3 site. AND ADD to SASM-R4 an accidental discovery condition to the permitted activity.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend to refer to "Scheduled 3 sites" rather than "wāhi tapu or mahinga kai sites". Northland Regional Policy Statement Policy 4.6.2 seeks the restriction of activities which compromise important spiritual or cultural values in association with particular heritage places or features. As such, the submitter supports provisions relating to Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori subject to the amendments sought. 	Accept in part	Topic 5: Rules
S332.45	Northland Regional Council	SASM-R5	Amend	AMEND SASM-R5.1.a. as follows: a. The building or structure is not located within a wāhi tapu or mahinga kai site a Schedule 3 site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend to refer to "Scheduled 3 sites" rather than "wāhi tapu or mahinga kai sites". Northland Regional Policy Statement Policy 4.6.2 seeks the restriction of activities which compromise important spiritual or cultural values in association 	Reject	Topic 5: Rules

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
					with particular heritage places or features. As such, the submitter supports provisions relating to Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori subject to the amendments sought.		
S332.46	Northland Regional Council	SASM-R6	Amend	AMEND SASM-R6 to include permitted activity status exceptions consistent with SASM-R3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SASM-R6 should have permitted activity status exceptions consistent with SASM-R3. For example, maintenance of existing structures, removal of hazardous trees, and the exercise of mahinga kai/cultural practices). Northland Regional Policy Statement Policy 4.6.2 seeks the restriction of activities which compromise important spiritual or cultural values in association with particular heritage places or features. As such, the submitter supports provisions relating to Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori subject to the amendments sought. 	Accept	Topic 5: Rules

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
S332.69	Northland Regional Council	General	Support	RETAIN the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter, except where amendments have been sought in other submission points.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy 4.6.2 in the Regional Policy Statement seeks that activities that compromise important spiritual or cultural values held by Māori / Mana Whenua and / or the wider community in association with particular heritage places or features are restricted. 	Accept in part	Topic 1: Overview/General
S367.2	Te Uri o Hau Settlement Trust	Definitions	Amend	ADD a separate definition of sites of significance to Māori and/or wāhi tapu referencing the Proposed Regional Plan for Northland wording. AMEND the definition of Historic heritage to exclude sites of significance to Māori.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A new definition of Wāhi tapu consistent with the NRC PRP is recommended. 	Accept in part	Topic 2: Definitions
S367.21	Te Uri o Hau Settlement Trust	SASM-O2	Amend	ADD to SASM-O2 to enable more sites to be added as follows: <u>To provide a mechanism for recognising and protecting additional sites of cultural, historical, ecological, or landscape significance through district plan processes.</u> AND ADD the following Note to the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter to enable more sites to be added:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Te Uri o Hau are generally supportive of this chapter. Given that the immediate legal effect of the Rules. Te Uri o Hau seeks wording as sought is added to the Notes. Relief sought will enable the proposed district plan to uphold the permissive rules and strengthen the objectives and policy beyond compliance-based 	Accept in part	Topic 3: Objectives

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
				<u>Where a site nomination has merit, Council may apply interim heritage protection orders or other statutory mechanisms pending plan change completion.</u>	protection to partnership-based kaitiakitanga that recognises Te Uri o Hau as active guardians rather than simply consultees in the resource management process.		
FS104.21	Fonterra	SASM-O1 and SASM-O2	Support	SUPPORT Te Uri o Hau S367.21.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fonterra acknowledges the unique tāngata whenua relationship with the environment and supports Te Uri o Hau's active role in resource management under the Te Uri o Hau Claims Settlement Act 2002. Support is qualified — Fonterra seeks a balance with its operational interests at the Maungatūroto Dairy Manufacturing Site. 	Accept in part	Topic 3: Objectives
FS110	Te Uri o Hau Settlement Trust	SASM-Schedule 3	Amend	ADD 17 new sites and cultural landscapes to Schedule 3 including 12 named sites and 5 silent file sites. Protect wāhi tapu and wāhi taonga. Strengthen SASM provisions consistent with S367 submission points.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Te Uri o Hau Settlement Trust filed a further submission identifying 17 new sites and cultural landscapes. The proposed sites are: Marohemo/Whakapirau (Site 3), Te Ihu Pā/Raepare Pā (Site 6), Motuwaheteke/Green Hill (Site 9, 	Accept in part	Topic 6: Schedule

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
					active quarry conflict — highest priority), and Pukekaroro/Pukearenga/Pukepo hatu (Site 17).		
S367.22	Te Uri o Hau Settlement Trust	SASM-O1	Amend	AMEND SASM-O1 as follows: Sites and areas of significance to Māori are identified <u>by mana whenua/tangata whenua</u> for their cultural significance and cultural values.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The location of each site and area should be determined with the guidance and direction of mana whenua. It is a matter of national importance to provide for the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu, and other taonga. 	Accept in part	Topic 3: Objectives
FS104.22	Fonterra	SASM-O1 and SASM-O2	Support	SUPPORT Te Uri o Hau S367.22.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fonterra supports Te Uri o Hau's submission on iwi-led identification of sites. 	Accept in part	Topic 3: Objectives
S367.23	Te Uri o Hau Settlement Trust	SASM-P1	Amend	AMEND SASM-P1 as follows: Identify and schedule sites and areas of significance to Māori in consultation and collaboration with Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Te Uri o Hau preference is to be more collaborative with landowners and Council. Consultation is a method of communication with those that 'may' be interested or affected by a proposal. 	Accept	Topic 4: Policies

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
FS104.23	Fonterra	SASM-P1	Support	SUPPORT Te Uri o Hau S367.23.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fonterra supports Te Uri o Hau's submission on collaboration in SASM-P1. 	Accept	Topic 4: Policies
S367.24	Te Uri o Hau Settlement Trust	SASM-P2	Amend	AMEND SASM-P2.3. as follows: Promoting <u>Providing for</u> active participation by mana whenua in resource management processes relating to scheduled sites.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The ‘promotion’ of active participation is ambiguous in terms of how ‘promotion’ is applied to achieve policy. Mana whenua participation should be provided for to ensure that cultural relationships, spiritual significance, traditional use patterns, and whakapapa connections are properly understood and protected. This leads to more culturally appropriate management approaches and avoids inadvertent damage to sites of significance. 	Accept	Topic 4: Policies
FS104.24	Fonterra	SASM-P2	Support	SUPPORT Te Uri o Hau S367.24.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fonterra supports Te Uri o Hau's submission on SASM-P2(3). 	Accept	Topic 4: Policies
S367.25	Te Uri o Hau Settlement Trust	SASM-P4	Amend	AMEND SASM-P4 as follows: Avoiding locating activities within <u>or immediately adjacent</u> the scheduled sites unless there is a functional or	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Te Uri o Hau are supportive of the policy, however, seek consistency in wording in the description of the area a site of significance in this policy. This 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
				operational need and no practicable alternative location;	will ensure clarity for proposed activities on the extend of a site of significant and statutory acknowledgement areas.		
FS107.18	Atlas Quarries Limited	SASM-P4	Support in part	SUPPORT in part Te Uri o Hau S367.25 (SASM-P4.1 immediately adjacent amendment).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Atlas Quarries supports in part the immediately adjacent extension of SASM-P4.1. 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies
FS104.25	Fonterra	SASM-P4	Support	SUPPORT Te Uri o Hau S367.25.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fonterra supports Te Uri o Hau's submission on SASM-P4. 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies
S367.26	Te Uri o Hau Settlement Trust	SASM-P7	Amend	AMEND SASM-P7.3 as follows: The outcome of any consultation with tangata whenua and, if any cultural advice is received, <u>must be given regard in resource consenting processes with the proposal's consistency with the recommendations identified;</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Te Uri o Hau is supportive of the provision. But seek a stronger wording to enable the Te Uri o Hau Deed of Settlement with the Crown which identifies areas in which they exercise kaitiakitanga. This creates a legal foundation requiring meaningful consideration of our cultural advice. Te Uri o Hau Settlement Trust represents the iwi as an "iwi authority" during the resource consent process under the Resource Management Act 1991. This formal recognition establishes their legitimate standing to provide cultural 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
					advice that must be meaningfully considered.		
FS104.26	Fonterra	SASM-P7	Support	SUPPORT Te Uri o Hau S367.26.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fonterra supports Te Uri o Hau's position on SASM-P7(3). 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies
FS107.19	Atlas Quarries Limited	SASM-P7	Support in part	SUPPORT in part Te Uri o Hau S367.26 (SASM-P7.3 cultural advice weighting).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Atlas Quarries supports in part Te Uri o Hau's position that cultural advice from mana whenua should be given meaningful weight. 	Accept in part	Topic 4: Policies
S367.77	Te Uri o Hau Settlement Trust	General	Amend	ADD recognition of Te Uri o Hau Claims Settlement (Resource Consent Notification) Regulations 2003 in the SASM chapter or Introduction chapter.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Te Uri o Hau Claims Settlement (Resource Consent Notification) Regulations 2003 are a statutory instrument that operates independently of the district plan. The administrative notification obligation under s64 of the Te Uri o Hau Claims Settlement Act 2002 expired approximately October 2022. 	Accept in part	Topic 1: Overview/General
S367.82	Te Uri o Hau Settlement Trust	General	Amend	ADD a new rule that provides for 'Setback requirements from wāhi tapu is more than 10 metre on adjacent or abutting land'	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The submission is concerned about structures such as walking and bike tracks being built next to urupa and wāhi tapu resulting in the disturbance of koiwi. 	Accept in part	Topic 5: Rules

Appendix A – Officer’s Recommended Decisions on Submissions – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons	Officer Recommendation	Relevant section of s42A Report
FS104.81	Fonterra	General	Support	SUPPORT Te Uri o Hau S367.82 setback from wāhi tapu.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fonterra supports Te Uri o Hau's request for setback requirements from wāhi tapu. 	Accept in part	Topic 5: Rules
FS72.16	Manulife Forest Management NZ Ltd	General	Support	SUPPORT Te Uri o Hau S367.82 setback request.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Manulife supports Te Uri o Hau's request for setback requirements from wāhi tapu. 	Accept in part	Topic 5: Rules